

is simply not fair. Many seniors on fixed incomes will be forced to cut back on their prescriptions, regardless of the consequences to their health.

Democrats in this Congress want to take a new direction to eliminate the doughnut hole. We believe that any prescription drug plan should provide enough monthly assistance so seniors no longer have to choose between putting food on their table or having the prescription drugs they need to live longer and healthier lives.

□ 1030

SEARCHING FOR THE PRESIDENT'S IRAQ PLAN

(Mr. EMANUEL asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. EMANUEL. Mr. Speaker, yesterday the President told the world that building a more hopeful future in the Middle East is "the greatest challenge of our time" and "the calling of a generation."

There is no question the President's policies in Iraq have created the greatest foreign policy challenge of a generation. What started off as a battle between democracy versus stability has now become a civil war between the Shiite and the Sunni. It became that way because of our incompetence and failure to plan.

We shouldn't expect a plan soon, because the President's Iraq Study Group just announced they will not release their plan for Iraq until after the November midterm elections.

Quote: "We think it's more important, frankly, to make sure whatever we bring forward is taken out of domestic politics."

This is how we are teaching democracy in the Mideast. Keep the voters in the dark until it's too late.

I will end the suspense. The new plan for Iraq, there is no plan and there never has been one. According to Brigadier General Mark Scheid, Secretary of Defense Rumsfeld threatened to fire anyone who tried to come up with a plan for the postwar and hostilities.

The President tells us we're in a long war, but thanks to this White House's refusal to plan, it has become an endless war.

It's time for a new direction.

IRAQ

(Mr. INSLEE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. INSLEE. Mr. Speaker, I came here this morning to chastise the Republican majority for their failure to act on the minimum wage, but one of my colleagues said something so outrageous and indecent that it demands an answer.

One of my colleagues lauded President Bush's action on security and foreign policy, asserting that we hadn't

had any losses since September 11, that we hadn't been attacked.

We have been attacked in Baghdad. We have been attacked in Mosul. We have been attacked in Tikrit. We are being attacked every single day, because this President and this do-nothing Congress has sent our troops and our finest men and women, our sons and daughters, our husbands and wives, into harm's way, where they never should have been in Iraq. We have lost 2,600-plus. We have had 15,000 of them limping around America because of the absurdly incompetent, ineffective, boneheaded decisions by this President and this Congress which has allowed them to go into harm's way.

Those who sit there and pat themselves on the back and say that we have had unalloyed success have done a disrespect to the fallen in Iraq. They shouldn't stand here and pat themselves on the back. They should be covered in shame for their failure to hold this President accountable for the competence we need in Iraq.

THE REPUBLICAN MYTH OF A HEALTHY ECONOMY

(Mr. HINOJOSA asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. HINOJOSA. Mr. Speaker, the Bush administration and Republicans in this body love to tout the so-called success of their economic policies, but as any economist or working American will tell you, the facts just do not add up.

Republicans claim that their policies are increasing job growth, but the fact is they are presiding over the lowest monthly job growth rate of any administration since Eisenhower. The current tepid growth is less than one-fifth of the average of jobs created each month during the Clinton years.

When it comes to the real money American workers take home, the picture is equally dismal. Inflation-adjusted hourly wages have actually fallen since 2003, and the median annual income has decreased 3½ percent during this Republican administration. Meanwhile, productivity is up so Americans are working harder for less pay, while their employers reap the rewards.

The solution to our economic situation is not the Bush plan of more tax cuts for corporations and the wealthiest 1 percent. These policies have done nothing to benefit American workers and have driven our country into huge debt.

PRESCRIPTION DRUG LAW CREATES A GIANT HOLE IN DRUG COVERAGE

(Mr. BUTTERFIELD asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. BUTTERFIELD. Mr. Speaker, in the coming days, millions of American seniors are going to see why the Repub-

lican prescription drug plan was written to help the pharmaceutical companies and the private insurance companies rather than to help our seniors.

Republicans on Capitol Hill sided with the pharmaceutical companies when they wrote the law to forbid the Federal Government from negotiating lower prices. Then they sided with the private insurance companies when they allowed them to create private plans that include what is known as the doughnut hole, a giant gap in coverage, when seniors must continue to pay their premiums but receive absolutely no help with their prescription drug bills.

That is right. Under the private plans that the Republicans created, seniors will lose their drug coverage after they spend \$2,250 of their own money on prescription drugs.

This Friday, Mr. Speaker, is the day that the average senior is expected to fall into the doughnut hole. If congressional Republicans were really interested in helping our seniors, they would join us in filling the doughnut hole so seniors do not have to continue to face this giant gap in coverage.

CONGRESS SHOULD HELP SENIORS OUT BY FILLING THE DOUGHNUT HOLE THAT MILLIONS OF SENIORS FACE

(Mr. RUPPERSBERGER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. RUPPERSBERGER. Mr. Speaker, this Friday, September 22, the average American senior will lose his or her prescription drug coverage that was promised by the majority.

This is the same confusing and complicated drug plan the majority created through the private sector rather than through the Federal Government's Medicare program.

Millions of seniors have already lost their coverage, but in the coming days, those numbers will climb as more seniors are expected to be denied their coverage when they hit the \$2,250 mark. How can this be? How can the majority create a plan where seniors are still forced to pay monthly premiums but are denied coverage?

How can a majority create a law that is supposed to help seniors and then not allow the government to negotiate to bring down drug prices? We must give the Federal Government the ability to negotiate on behalf of seniors so that we can bring down drug prices and eliminate the doughnut hole so no senior loses their drug coverage.

It is time we take our country in a new direction.

STAY THE COURSE IN IRAQ IS NOT A STRATEGY FOR SUCCESS

(Ms. WATSON asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Ms. WATSON. Mr. Speaker, Republicans support the Bush promise to

stay the course in Iraq with an open-ended commitment and no questions asked. This stay-the-course strategy has strained our military, compromised our readiness, cost nearly 2,700 American lives and almost \$400 billion, and diverted attention and resources away from the real war on terror.

Stay the course is not a strategy, and it is not working. Republicans refuse to face the fact that the reality on the ground is that we are not winning. We have no end game plan.

Today, we are bogged down in the middle of a civil war, one where 100 people are killed every day. From May 20 through August 11, the average number of attacks per week against Americans and Iraqis was 792, the highest number since the war began.

Meanwhile, the war in Iraq is distracting us from the overall global threat of terror. Over the past 3 years while we have been fighting in Iraq, the number of worldwide terrorist attacks have grown dramatically and the Taliban is growing in strength in Afghanistan.

The President has to stop looking and face the facts.

PRESIDENT BUSH AND GOP OUT OF TOUCH ON THE ECONOMIC CONCERNS OF AMERICANS

(Mrs. JONES of Ohio asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Mrs. JONES of Ohio. Mr. Speaker, President Bush and the congressional Republicans refuse to face reality when it comes to the economic conditions the American middle class and working poor now face. Despite all the evidence to the contrary, President Bush continues to contend that things are good for American workers.

Just what numbers is he looking at? Surely, it cannot be the economic numbers that show average workers today are making \$3,000 less than they did 5 years ago, if you adjust for inflation.

The President must also be ignoring numbers showing that wages and salaries now make up the lowest proportion of the economy since the government began taking records back in 1947. While wages have been stagnant, corporate profits have climbed to their highest levels since the 1960s.

Mr. Speaker, that last fact must be the one that the President is referring to when he touts the economy. It may be working well for the President's wealthy special-interest friends who are forcing their workers to be more productive without allowing them to share in the profits. Is this really fair?

Democrats believe we need to take our economy in a new direction, one that looks out for all Americans, not just the privileged few.

AMERICANS KNOW WE CAN DO BETTER

(Mr. SNYDER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. SNYDER. Mr. Speaker, probably the most frequent fear facing a family each month is paying bills. Even families with income above minimum wage struggle. Nothing pays family bills but money. Nothing is better for bringing in money than a good job with a good wage.

For the last several years, our country has not been moving in the right direction: no change in the minimum wage; the numbers of uninsured substantially increased; tuition for technical schools going up; tuition for colleges substantially increased without an appreciable increase in Pell Grants and the GI bill.

We must do better. Americans know we can do better.

THIS ADMINISTRATION MUST CHANGE DIRECTION ON AVIATION SECURITY

(Mr. DEFAZIO asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. DEFAZIO. Mr. Speaker, 5 years and 9 days after 9/11 our system of aviation security is not yet done. In fact, today, a USA Today headline: "Crisis Seen in Luggage Screening." We still do not have inline, integrated state-of-the-art baggage screening in the largest majority of U.S. airports. People would be appalled if they saw what went on behind the scenes that is supposedly providing for security.

But the Bush administration has said consistently for 5 years, we cannot afford to make flying safe and to screen cargo and baggage; we cannot afford it.

If they just would forgo the tax cuts for 1 year for wealthy investors, exempting their dividend taxes from a normal rate of taxation, we could put this equipment in every airport in America. But guess what? Those rich people do not care. They are flying on the private jets and the Bush people are flying on their military flights, so they do not really care about the American public and their security.

But this is a crisis and we cannot afford to continue to ignore what we need to do, what we need to invest to make the American flying public safe.

This administration must change direction or we must change the leadership in Congress.

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 4844, FEDERAL ELECTION INTEGRITY ACT OF 2006

Mrs. CAPITO. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules, I call up House Resolution 1015 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 1015

Resolved, That upon the adoption of this resolution it shall be in order without inter-

vention of any point of order to consider in the House the bill (H.R. 4844) to amend the National Voter Registration Act of 1993 to require any individual who desires to register or re-register to vote in an election for Federal office to provide the appropriate State election official with proof that the individual is a citizen of the United States to prevent fraud in Federal elections, and for other purposes. The amendment in the nature of a substitute recommended by the Committee on House Administration now printed in the bill shall be considered as adopted. The bill, as amended, shall be considered as read. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill, as amended, to final passage without intervening motion except: (1) one hour of debate equally divided and controlled by the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on House Administration; and (2) one motion to recommit with or without instructions.

UNFUNDED MANDATE POINT OF ORDER

Mr. McDERMOTT. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to section 426 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, I make a point of order against consideration of the rule, H. Res. 1015.

Section 425 of the same act states that a point of order lies against the legislation which, number one, imposes an unfunded mandate in excess of the annual amount specified in that section against State or local governments; or two, does not publish prior to floor consideration a CBO estimate of any unfunded mandates in excess of the amounts specified annually for State and local entities or in excess of the amount specified annually for the private sector.

Section 426 of the Budget Act specifically states that the Rules Committee may not waive this point of order.

On page 1, line 2, and on page 2, line 1, of H. Res. 1015, all points of order are waived against consideration of H.R. 4844, the Federal Election Integrity Act of 2006. Therefore, I make a point of order that this rule may not be considered pursuant to section 426.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. BOOZMAN). The gentleman from Washington (Mr. McDERMOTT) makes a point of order that the resolution violates section 426(a) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974.

In accordance with section 426(b)(2) of the act, the gentleman has met the threshold burden to identify the specific language in the resolution on which the point of order is predicated.

Under section 426(b)(4) of the act, the gentleman from Washington (Mr. McDERMOTT) and the gentlewoman from West Virginia (Mrs. CAPITO) each will control 10 minutes of debate on the question of consideration.

Pursuant to section 426(b)(3) of the act, after that debate the Chair will put the question of consideration, to wit: "Will the House now consider the resolution?"

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Washington.

Mr. McDERMOTT. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Republicans want to erect a fence around the right of the American people to vote. They have offered a bill